

**From:** [Christian, Doretha](#)  
**To:** [Foster, Anne](#)  
**Cc:** [Werner, Robert](#)  
**Subject:** RE: San Jacinto Demand Letter  
**Date:** Monday, March 16, 2015 2:32:00 PM

---

Thanks. I was out of the office all of last week; but also received a message from Phil Slowiak regarding the documentation and questions he had. I returned his call and am waiting to hear back from him.

Doretha A. Christian  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Superfund Division, Enforcement Assessment Section  
1445 Ross Avenue (6SF-TE)  
Dallas, Texas 75202-2733  
[christian.doretha@epa.gov](mailto:christian.doretha@epa.gov)  
214.665.6734 w  
214.665.6660 f

---

**From:** Foster, Anne  
**Sent:** Monday, March 16, 2015 11:57 AM  
**To:** Christian, Doretha  
**Cc:** Werner, Robert  
**Subject:** San Jacinto Demand Letter

Al Axe had a question about the San Jacinto demand amount. Apparently, the indirect rate for 2013 had been listed on previous SCORPIOS reports as 42.28%; in this package, it was listed as 61.55%. However, Al's client said that the total amount of indirect costs (I assume they mean indirects attributed to 2013) did not go up, even with the larger percentage. The client thought we might have made a mistake, either on the percentage or the amount. I told Al that I assumed that the percentage change was a provisional rate going final, but I had no answer on the amount staying the same. Your assistance checking into this would be much appreciated.



9745529